

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/691,916	10/2	23/2003	Jan Herschel	H01.2-11371	6523	
490	7590	12/09/2004		EXAMINER		
VIDAS, A	ARRETT & S	TEINKRAUS, P	SICONOLFI, ROBERT			
6109 BLU SUITE 200	E CIRCLE DR 00	RIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETO	NKA, MN	55343-9185	3683			

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
			16	HERSCHEL ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Robert A.	Siconolfi	3683				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communical or Reply	tion appears on th	e cover sheet with th	e correspondence addres	:s			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) do period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutoure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no excation. ays, a reply within the sta by period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	vent, however, may a reply b tutory minimum of thirty (30) vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS folication to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this community DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on .						
'—			non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
. 10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the control of t	e Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	=	*	-			
,	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	y the Examiner. IV	ote the attached On	ice Action of form 1 10-1	52 .			
_	_	En unitaria in alternitari	.d.= 051100 0 6 440	(A) (d) = = (5)				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2.☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docum I Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applic ents have been rece le 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stag	je			
Attachmer			_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	040)	4) Interview Summ					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO- er No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/691,916 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toomey (U. S. Patent no. 3,765,729) in view of Kessler (U. S. Patent no. 6.079.792). Toomey discloses:

A braking device for an industrial truck, comprising a hydraulic brake cylinder 6 which is coupled to an actuating element 5 and is connected with at least one hydraulic brake 29 associated with a wheel 1-4 of the industrial truck via a brake line, characterized in that another hydraulic brake cylinder 15 is connected to the hydraulic brake line. The first and second brake cylinders are connected to the brake line a shuttle valve 22.

Toomey does not disclose an electromagnet (solenoid) to actuate the second brake cylinder. Kessler teaches a solenoid connected by a lever to actuate a brake cylinder (see figure 2 master cylinder 24, solenoid 22, lever 60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the solenoid actuation as taught by Kessler in the brake system of Toomey for better emergency response. Electrical systems can actuate quicker than a purely mechanical system as well actuate independent of driver desire based on electronic inputs form environmental sensors (speed, radar etc)

Art Unit: 3683

3683

Regarding claim 3, Kessler does not specifically teach a spring attached to the solenoid. Springs are well known as means to reset a solenoid back into a base position. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use a spring in order to reset the solenoid which allows for simplified control (the solenoid position does not have to be determined before a control signal is sent). Regarding claims 5 and 6, Kessler does not teach placing the pivot attachment to the second brake cylinder between the other two pivots. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the second brake cylinder pivot between the other two pivots as such placement is merely a design choice based on design constraints for placement of the elements. The length of the moment arms determines the functionality of the linkage not the order of the pivots.

Regarding claim 7, joint mounting 30

Regarding claim 8, retaining plate 32

Regarding claims 9 and 10, Kessler does not specifically disclose a forked connection to the lever. Such a connection is common as it provides more stability than a single sided connection (no torque placed on the rod).

Application/Control Number: 10/691,916 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert A. Siconolfi whose telephone number is 703-305-0580. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10 am-3 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert A. Siconolfi

Examiner Art Unit 3683

RS